

STANDARD-LIKE MODELS FROM D-BRANES

D. BAILIN

*Centre for Theoretical Physics
University of Sussex
Brighton, BN1 9QJ, UK
E-mail: D.Bailin@sussex.ac.uk*

I describe the main features of new intersecting D4- and D5-brane orbifold models that yield the non-supersymmetric standard model, up to vector-like matter and, in some cases, extra $U(1)$ factors in the gauge group. There are six-stack D4-brane models that have charged-singlet scalar tachyons and which either contain all of the Yukawa couplings to the tachyonic Higgs doublets that are needed to generate mass terms for the fermions at renormalisable level or possess an unwanted extra $U(1)$ gauge symmetry after spontaneous symmetry breaking. In the D5-brane models a minimum of eight stacks is needed.

1. Introduction

The D-brane world offers an attractive, bottom-up route to getting standard-like models from Type II string theory¹. Open strings that begin and end on a stack a of N_a D-branes generate the gauge bosons of the group $U(N_a)$ living in the world volume of the D-branes. So the standard approach is to start with one stack $a = 1$ of three D-branes, another $a = 2$ of two D-branes, and n other stacks each having just one D-brane, thereby generating the gauge group $U(3) \times U(2) \times U(1)^n$. Fermions in bi-fundamental representations of the corresponding gauge groups can arise at the intersections of such stacks², but to get $D = 4$ *chiral* fermions the intersecting branes should sit at a singular point in the space transverse to the branes, an orbifold fixed point, for example. In general, such configurations yield a non-supersymmetric spectrum, so to avoid the hierarchy problem the string scale associated with such models must be no more than a few TeV. Gravitational interactions occur in the bulk ten-dimensional space, and to ensure that the Planck energy has its observed large value, it is necessary that there are large dimensions transverse to the branes³. The D-branes with which we are concerned wrap the 3-space we inhabit and closed 1-, 2- or 3-cycles of a toroidally compactified T^2 , $T^2 \times T^2$ or $T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2$ space. Thus getting the correct Planck scale effectively means that only D4-

and D5-brane models are viable, since for D6-branes there is no dimension transverse to all of the intersecting branes.

During the past year orientifold models with intersecting D6- and D5-branes have been constructed that yield precisely the fermionic spectrum of the standard model (plus three generations of right-chiral neutrinos)^{4,5}. (Other recent work on intersecting brane models, both supersymmetric and non-supersymmetric, and their phenomenological implications may be found in⁶.) The spectrum includes $SU(2)_L$ doublet scalar tachyons that may be regarded as the Higgs doublets that break the electroweak symmetry group, but also, unavoidably, colour-triplet and charged singlet tachyons either of which is potentially fatal for the phenomenology. In a previous paper⁷ we studied D4-brane models, transverse to a Z_3 orbifold, having three generations of chiral matter that were constrained to have no colour triplet or charged singlet scalar tachyons, and which contained the Yukawa couplings to the Higgs doublets needed to give masses to all quarks and leptons; these models, unavoidably, also possessed extra, vector-like leptonic matter. The wrapping numbers of the various stacks are constrained by the requirement of Ramond-Ramond tadpole cancellation and also by the requirement that the mixed $U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_L^2$ and $U(1)_Y \times SU(3)_c^2$ anomalies cancel. In string theory, these latter constraints derive from a generalised Green-Schwarz mechanism that ensures that the gauge bosons associated with all anomalous $U(1)$ s acquire string-scale masses⁸. In fact the cancellation of anomalies is necessary, but *not* sufficient⁴, to ensure the masslessness of the gauge boson associated with $U(1)_Y$. When the stronger constraints derived from this Green-Schwarz mechanism are applied we find that in the models constructed in⁷ the weak hypercharge $U(1)_Y$ survives only as a global, *not* a local, symmetry^a. A recent paper¹⁰ was the first to construct semi-realistic intersecting D4-brane orbifold models without this defect. In this talk I outline the features of our new D4-brane models and some results from our attempts to construct analogous models using D5-branes.

2. D4-brane models

The stacks of D4-branes wrap closed 1-cycles of T^2 and are all situated at a fixed point of the transverse $T^2 \times T^2/Z_3$ orbifold. A stack a is specified by two wrapping numbers (n_a, m_a) that specify the number of times a wraps the basis 1-cycles of T^2 . The generator θ of the Z_3 point group is embedded in the stack of N_a branes as $\gamma_{\theta,a} = \alpha^{p_a} I_{N_a}$, where $\alpha = e^{2\pi i/3}$, $p_a = 0, 1, 2$.

^aA similar observation applies also to the models constructed in⁹ and Kataoka and Shimojo⁶.

The first two stacks $a = 1, 2$ defined above, that generate a $U(3) \times U(2)$ gauge group, are common to all models; their Chan-Paton factors α^{p_a} have $p_1 \neq p_2$. Besides these we have, in general, three sets I, J, K of $U(1)$ stacks characterised by their Chan-Paton factors: $p_i = p_2 \ \forall i \in I$, $p_1 \neq p_j \neq p_2 \ \forall j \in J$, and $p_k = p_1 \ \forall k \in K$; the sets I, J, K are each divided into two subsets $I_1 \cup I_2 = I$ etc., defined so that the weak hypercharge Y is the linear combination of the $U(1)$ charges Q_a

$$-Y = \frac{1}{3}Q_1 + \frac{1}{2}Q_2 + \sum_{i_1 \in I_1} Q_{i_1} + \sum_{j_1 \in J_1} Q_{j_1} + \sum_{k_1 \in K_1} Q_{k_1} \quad (1)$$

In general, tachyonic scalars arise at intersections between stacks a and b which have the same Chan-Paton factor $p_a = p_b$; the number $I_{ab} = n_a m_b - m_a n_b$ of intersections gives the number of such particles. Thus, Higgs doublets, which are needed to give mass to the fermionic matter, arise at $(2i_1)$ and $(2i_2)$ intersections^b. In¹⁰ we obtained general solutions for the wrapping numbers of three-generation models that satisfy the constraints deriving from twisted tadpole cancellation and the requirement that the $U(1)_Y$ gauge boson associated with weak hypercharge does *not* get a Green-Schwarz mass. We also demand that there are tree-level Yukawa couplings needed to give masses to all matter. As before⁷, the three fermion generations include right-chiral neutrinos in all models. At least six stacks are required, and there is a unique, one-parameter family of six-stack models having stacks $1, 2, i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2$ that include the (tachyonic) Higgs doublets needed to generate mass terms for all matter. They have extra vector-like leptonic, but not quark, matter. We find

$$12(L + \bar{L}) + 6(e_L^c + \bar{e}_L^c) + 3(\nu_L^c + \bar{\nu}_L^c) \quad (2)$$

Besides 9 Higgs doublets, there are also 3 charged-singlet tachyons, but no colour-triplet tachyons. The gauge coupling strengths are inversely proportional to the length of the 1-cycle wrapped by the corresponding stack, so the wrapping numbers determine gauge coupling constant ratios, at the string scale. The ratios predicted by the above six-stack models are inconsistent with those measured at the electroweak scale, but our previous experience⁷ suggests that they might be consistent with only a modest amount of renormalisation group running, so they are not necessarily inconsistent with a string scale of a few TeV that is required for

^bIn our models, as in all others, the Higgs content is non-minimal; this seems to be a generic feature of models deriving from string theory¹¹.

non-supersymmetric models such as these. It is conceivable too that radiative corrections could render the tree-level, charged-singlet tachyons non-tachyonic. However, there is a further unwelcome feature of these models that seems unavoidable. It turns out that in the models under discussion there is one surviving additional $U(1)$ gauge symmetry, coupled to the observable matter, that is not spontaneously broken at the electroweak transition. We can do better if we abandon the requirement of mass terms for all matter at renormalisable level. Then there is a one-parameter family of six-stack models having stacks $1, 2, i_1, j_1, j_2, k_2$ with no unwanted (coupled) surviving $U(1)$ gauge symmetry, but which has additional vector-like leptonic and quark matter. We find

$$3(d_L^c + \bar{d}_L^c) + 6(L + \bar{L}) + 6(e_L^c + \bar{e}_L^c) + 3(\nu_L^c + \bar{\nu}_L^c) \quad (3)$$

In addition there are 3 Higgs doublets, 3 charged-singlet tachyonic scalars and 3 colour-triplet scalar tachyons.

We have also found two-parameter, eight-stack models having precisely one stack in each of the sets $I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2, K_1, K_2$, that have mass terms at renormalisable level for all matter *and* that are free of charged-singlet tachyons. As before, there is extra vector-like leptonic, but not quark, matter. The precise vector-like matter content depends upon one of the parameters. There are several values of this parameter that give gauge coupling constant ratios fairly close to those measured, and one value that comes very close. In this case, therefore, the string scale cannot be far from the electroweak scale. As before⁷, baryon number is anomalous, and survives as a global symmetry, so the proton is stable despite the low string scale. Unfortunately, these models too are afflicted with several unwanted $U(1)$ gauge symmetries that survive electroweak spontaneous symmetry breaking.

3. D5-brane models

It is natural to wonder whether similar models, constructed using D5-branes that wrap $T^2 \times T^2$ and are situated at a fixed point of T^2/Z_3 , can improve upon the results of D4-brane models. In this case each stack a is characterised by a total of four wrapping numbers $(n_a, m_a)(\tilde{n}_a, \tilde{m}_a)$, two for each wrapped torus. The intersection number is now given by $I_{ab} = (n_a m_b - m_a n_b)(\tilde{n}_a \tilde{m}_b - \tilde{m}_a \tilde{n}_b)$. Important differences from the D4-brane case arise in connection with the tachyonic scalar states. As before, they arise at intersections of stacks having the same Chan-Paton factor; in fact, only scalar tachyons arise at such intersections in this case. The difference of the angles θ_{ab} and $\tilde{\theta}_{ab}$ between the intersecting 1-cycles on the two

wrapped tori determine the squared mass of the tachyon at such an intersection, and when they are equal the tachyon is massless. Thus in D5-brane models potentially unwelcome (e.g. charged-singlet and/or colour-triplet) tachyons might be removable by this mechanism. A further difference is that tachyons may also arise when the intersecting D5-branes have parallel 1-cycles in one, but not both, of the two wrapped tori⁵, i.e. $\theta_{ab} = 0$ or $\bar{\theta}_{ab} = 0$. The intersection number of the non-parallel 1-cycles determines the number of such states that arise. The distance between the parallel 1-cycles enters the mass formula, so the masses of these states are continuously adjustable. When it is zero (or small enough) the states are tachyonic, but when the cycles are far enough apart the states are massive and can be removed from the low-energy spectrum. There are eight constraints on the wrapping numbers deriving from twisted tadpole cancellation and requiring that the $U(1)_Y$ gauge boson associated with weak hypercharge does not get a Green-Schwarz mass. The constraints are quadratic in the wrapping numbers, and the only six-stack models that can satisfy them have stacks $1, 2, i_1, i_2, k_1, k_2$. Unfortunately, the solution gives $I_{2i_1} = 0 = I_{2i_2}$ and, since the 1-cycles in both tori are parallel, these models have no Higgs doublets (or charged-singlet or colour-triplet tachyons). They are therefore not standard-like and we are forced to consider eight-stack models from the outset.

We may obtain non-zero intersection numbers for the Higgs doublets by taking the sets I_1 and I_2 to contain more than one stack. In particular, if we take each to have two stacks $i_1^{(1,2)}$ and $i_2^{(1,2)}$ respectively, then there are consistent eight-stack, three-generation models with just j_1 (or k_1) and j_2 (or k_2) stacks in addition. A priori, the Green-Schwarz mechanism gives string-scale masses to eight linear combinations of the $U(1)$ gauge fields. However, in the models we are considering three of these are lost, and the three surviving massless $U(1)$ gauge symmetries include (an unwanted) one which is coupled to observable matter, but which remains unbroken after electroweak spontaneous symmetry breaking. We have also investigated models in which the Higgs doublets arise from intersections on just one of the wrapped tori, the 1-cycles on the other being parallel. We find eight-stack, three-generation models satisfying the constraints with just one stack in each of the sets $I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2, K_1, K_2$, and some of these are free of unwanted coupled $U(1)$ gauge symmetries. The models have extra vector-like leptonic, but not quark, matter, and charged-singlet scalar tachyons. The two parameters may be chosen so that the tree-level gauge coupling constant ratios are equal to those measured, consistent with a string scale very close to the electroweak scale. These models will be analysed elsewhere¹⁴.

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to acknowledge an enjoyable collaboration with George Kraniotis and Alex Love with whom all of this work was done. This account is a modified version of the talk I gave in Oxford in July, 2002. The research is supported in part by PPARC and by the German-Israeli Foundation for Scientific Research (GIF).

References

1. G. Aldazabal, L. E. Ibáñez and F. Quevedo, JHEP 0001(2000)031, hep-th/9909172, JHEP 0002 (2000) 015, hep-th/0005067; I. Antoniadis, E. Kiritsis and T. Tomaras, Phys. Lett. B486 (2000) 186, hep-th/0004214; D. Bailin, G.V. Kraniotis, A. Love, Phys. Lett. B502 (2001) 209, hep-th/0011289; C. Bachas, hep-th/9503030; R. Blumenhagen, L. Görlich, B. Körs and D. Lüst, JHEP 0010 (2000) 006, hep-th/0007024; Z. Kakushadze, Phys. Rev. D59 (1999) 045007; Z. Kakushadze, G. Shiu and S.-H. Henry Tye, Nucl. Phys. B533(1998)25; J. Lykken, E. Poppitz, S. P. Trivedi, Nucl. Phys. B543 (1999) 105; I. Antoniadis, E. Dudas, A. Sagnotti, Phys. Lett.B 464 (1999) 38; S. Sugimoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. 102 (1999) 685; C. Angelantonj, Nucl. Phys.B 566 (2000) 126;
2. M. Berkooz, M. R. Douglas and R. G. Leigh, Nuclear Physics B480 (1996) 265, hep-th/9606139
3. N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G.R. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B429 (1998) 263, hep-ph/9803315; I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G.R.Dvali, Phys. Lett.B 436 (1998) 257, hep-ph/9804398.
4. L. E. Ibáñez, F. Marchesano and R. Rabadan, JHEP 0111 (2001) 002, hep-th/0105155
5. D. Cremades, L. E. Ibáñez and F. Marchesano, hep-th/0205074
6. R. Blumenhagen, V. Braun , B. Körs and D. Lüst, JHEP 0207 (2002) 026, hep-th/0206038 ; G. Aldazabal, L. E. Ibáñez, A.M. Uranga, hep-ph/0205250; G. Honecker, JHEP 0201 (2002) 025, hep-th/0201037, hep-th/0112174; H. Kataoka and M. Shimojo, hep-th/0112247; C. Kokorelis, hep-th/0203187, hep-th/0205147; J. R. Ellis, P. Kanti, D.V. Nanopoulos, hep-th/0206087
7. D. Bailin, G. V. Kraniotis and A. Love, Physics Letters B530 (2002) 202, hep-th/0108131
8. L. E. Ibáñez, R. Rabadán and A. M. Uranga, Nucl. Phys. B542 (1999) 112, hep-th/9808139
9. G. Aldazabal, S. Franco, L. E. Ibáñez, R. Rabadán and A. M. Uranga, JHEP 0102 (2001) 047, hep-ph/0011132
10. D. Bailin, G. V. Kraniotis and A. Love, hep-th/0208103
11. D. M. Ghilencia and H. P. Nilles, hep-th/0204261
12. Reports of the working groups on precision calculations for LEP2 Physics, S. Jadach, G. Passarino, R. Pittau (Eds.), CERN Yellow Report, CERN, 2000-009
13. D. M. Ghilencia, L. E. Ibáñez, N. Irges and F. Quevedo, hep-ph/0205083
14. D. Bailin, G. V. Kraniotis and A. Love, in preparation